

Auckland lawyer Liz Lambert tells employers and self-employed to ignore Friday's 3rd December 2021 government mandates and explains the reasons why to Grant Edwards

Grant: With me today is Auckland Lawyer Liz Lambert, and Liz is going to bring us up-to-date with what happened on Friday. The 3rd of December 2021. Big news Liz.

Liz: Yeah, it was, and everybody was in mourning it seemed, but I found it quite exhilarating actually, because they'd finally come out and, and unveiled this so-called traffic lights. Uh, I can't help but laugh. I'm sorry. Um even though you know I'm, I'm dealing with people all of the time that you know, terrified of losing their jobs or what, what they're going to do after, after the fact, etcetera. Um, the, the fact is that it's a big smoke screen it's like we got to the City of OZ and we saw, we saw the great OZ, and then Toto came along and pulled the curtain, and we found out it was Jacinda sitting on a pile of books.

Grant: Naked.

Liz: Um, the, you know, the, um, what are we on order number six? Order number six is basically a whole lot of adjustments and, are, um, very complex legal bits, and pieces that have been added on to what were the first two orders, I, I, should you would describe them which were the orders about forbidding workers to work in their employment if they were not jabbed; in the ports, in the first instance although I was , it was more, more like the borders because it included the MIQ centres but it include, but those, so they were sometimes not in the borders, but they were, but the, it included the seaports and the airports. So that was the first order. And then, I think

that was in July, and then in October, (Is it October?), we got the one about we got the one about the schools and the education facilities which included the universities and all of that, I suppose. Um, and um, and the medical facilities which they then extended on the third, I think the third adjustment, they made the third order they made, they extended those sort of, those areas where they were saying people, you know, had to have tests done, or stuff to home schooling situations, and to, what was the other one? Home schooling and where people look after children in their own homes. So they were, they couldn't, because they're pretty scared about going full on and trying to get into people's house, and that's the last bastion really. Uh, but they're trying to make life uncomfortable enough for people so they'll be persuaded into all of these things. So I don't really know what happened with, uh, I think there was another one after that where they cancelled the loophole for Clause 7A, which a lot of people thought, oh, I'll go to the doctor and I'll get Clause 7A, which was, you know was a medical exemption, and people rushed off and got that and others almost didn't because of, by that time they'd said all of the doctors had to be vaccinated as well. You had this, these missives coming out of the Ministry of Health saying well the doctors, if they're going to go after it, they have to get another medical profession to do it. So they could have got their chiropractor or somebody like that. This is the thing within, within law, one word can mean, you know, like medical practitioner can cover a multitude of sins, if we may put it like that. Um, so they could all run around getting exemptions off each other, but they were pretty unwilling to give exemptions to anybody else because they were a bit peeved I think. I don't think they thought they were going to

come under fire. So anyway I think maybe that was four, and then, I don't know what five was, but they're all been sort of tinkering really with those first two areas. Now when we look at those two areas we can see that they were the ports. Oh, I left out prisons, that was the other one. Ports, prisons, medical facilities and anything to do with them, and ah, you know, so that includes dentists and la la la and schools. So four. Yeah. So all of those had, because I, I looked at those orders pretty darn closely when I was working with the people at the ports and trying to do, trying to get (*Clause*) 7A exemptions. What was happening with that was, that they would get the 7A exemption and then they'd send it off to Wellington, which of course was a complete NO-NO. It's, um, and you know, it seemed to be a matter of really collecting up the names of doctors who were sympathetic, and because they had no intention of giving the workers, and they, they had that, had to be done by the 30th September from memory. So then people, on, after the 30th September who still didn't, who still resisted were sacked. So at that time, of the ports, I started looking at, okay, well, what are the responsibilities of the employer here, and then I started to look at the Health and Safety Act, and see, oh, actually, the more fruitful area is the responsibilities of the PCBU (*Person Conducting a Business or Undertaking*), because, I mean, all of this stuff about, you know, it's all focused on the worker, or it's all focused on the people, and they're the walking time bombs according to this, this theory right? Um, the covid theory, it's all about the people and nothing about where people are situated in, in their world, or in our world. They have to be places right? It's no, it's no good talking about people being at home, online, and being you know, a covid risk. Although, they have tried to mandate as

they say, that's the word everybody uses. They have tried to mandate, um, um, call centre workers and doctors who only prescribe online, etcetera. Now, they've got a bit of resistance. I got a client who when it was, sort of pointed out that the onerous duties of a PCBU, they decided, 'Oh no. I don't think you need to have that. We could let that go.' Right? But what I had been more interested in was the exemptions that, that are allowed in all of these, these orders. Now, the exemptions that applies to the four very broad areas that I was, I was talking in the first place, in the, covered in the first two orders are under a Clause called 12 (Capital) A, and these are if the work, if you want workers in your business, because of work, you know, what would you call it to make, to make the business work, work efficacy, efficacy. Then you, um, you had to, you had to fill in the, the, the job description, and you send it down; this is the employer has to do this, or the PCBU has to do it, and send it off to Wellington. But, they're pretty unwilling to do that sort of thing because, I was, you know, the port, the port CEO's themselves and everything, pretty, pretty hard to get to do anything, even though it was pointed out to them that you know all, all the terrible, you know, information about the, the job, and how it was killing people and maiming people. They weren't taking it, they weren't having a bar of that, so consequently they sacked a lot workers, but they had a lot of workers standing by to take over jobs. However, that's, that's kind of been pushed back a lot now, so we, we are definitely, we are definitely getting closer and closer to pulling this whole thing apart. So, so, that's 12A. That's a 12A exemption, and they, those are requests for, you know, I have to keep this worker were going to say the Ministry of Transport, the CEO of the Maritime New Zealand, and the other one was to the CEO

of Corrections. So um, that's where they went off. Um, I didn't actually, um, you know, talk to any CEO's about doing that, ah, I think there are going to be a lot more pliable in the future because what's happened is that there is this magic thing called Clause 9. Now, when you say what happened on, on Friday, I'd been looking at Clause 9 with the help of Chris Lind actually. He was one who first pointed out to me, because I'd been working in that, that other area where they all had to send letters to ministers, but I then, I looked again at Clause 9, and I see, oh, this doesn't have to go to a ministry... this is just, um, this is just if you've, if you know your work needs these workers, or a worker, it says, then you, you don't apply to anybody. It just sits there in mid-air, right Clause 9. So my legal mind says, That means that it's the magic bullet to, in the head of all this. Clause 9 says that you can decide that you need the workers for your workplace and that's it. So all of these here, they've got, and they say, 'Oh, but you're covered by the order.' They mention you, okay, hairdressers, etcetera, etcetera. But, then when you look for your exemption, you, you're led to Clause 9, and it says that you may decide to have unvaccinated workers in your, in your thing, and it gives a whole lot of reasons why you might need them. But there's no way to write and say, 'Oh well Minister of Hairdressing, I need these people.' Or 'Minister of Hospitality I need these people.' It's a, it's actually that curtain is being pulled away from the Wizard of Oz.

So that's the good news. So what I'm saying to, yeah, so what I'm saying to workers and employers is get, get going. Open Up!

Now, the other thing of course that I've been involved in lots, and lots of negotiations with, with work, with employers over this, not over Clause 9, so much, but I have mentioned it to them in the past, but it's kind of, it's mostly to do with, well, they don't get it. Let's say that they don't get it. They, they, I think they're shell shocked actually, because they hadn't realised they were PCBU, which is person in charge of a business or undertaking, and that has got really onerous duties.

For example, I'll give you an example of um, a case that happened in, in New Zealand, a couple of years back, because these things take a while to go through the courts. Uh, there was a young man killed in a welding accident. I'm not sure where he was, but anyway the company involved was a company called Salters, S A L T E R S. Now they, um, I happened to mention it to one of my clients yesterday, and he knew the case because he's involved in the same sort of industry. And he said, 'Oh, he said they got a huge fine.' The company, and Mr and Mr Salter, I suppose, is on home detention with an ankle bracelet. Right, so if what's, what I'm saying to employers, and I know I'm right, and, and PCBU, is that you have imported, you have acted like and agent of The Crown, you have imported the dangers of the vaccine onto your workplace, right. So you are the one who is liable for the vaccine injuries. And they say ACC. No ACC doesn't work, because under the Health and Safety and at Work Act, Section 29 does not allow you to insure yourself against workplace accidents, hazards, right, that you have on your workplace. Now somebody, not, it's not an, because it's not considered an accident actually. Because in ordinary, you know somebody

gets hurt at work ACC covers that. Yep, because that's an accident and you know, no it was nobody's fault. But if you have knowledge that there is something hazarded, hazardous on your work space, and you don't mitigate it, or, or you know, get rid of it, etcetera, etcetera, and somebody gets hurts, then you know, you're in big trouble, and that's the case of Salters right, they had, they hadn't, there was a hazard, and it was found that they hadn't done enough, and really bad things occur. And now you can be fined up to \$3 million dollars if the person dies. I don't know how much they got find, it only, it came to my attention another way actually, but, anyway, but for the purposes of this, so this weekend, so I had been talking to, to people about it the night before, I think I had a three hour discussion with some people down, down the line a bit, and they are in a small town, in the well, medium sized town in, in the North Island, and they are going to open their properties up again. Now their, their shops up again, because the thing is, and but, the most of, most of what they're worried about Grant is that this threat of a, a \$15,000 fine. Well, I'm saying to them, 'Bring it on!' Because the people who will be waiving that fine in the air are people called WorkSafe. Now this, this WorkSafe, and they can try, and, and sue me for defamation if they want. But they are a criminal organisation. They have been lying to the whole, the whole lot of ah, you know, business owners in New Zealand for the last two years. They have been going around and saying to people that, that your, that your workplace risk is your WORKER!!! They have no, **nothing in the act** that says anything like that, that they ever go and recommend anything like masking, or testing, or vaccination to the worker. To the, to the employer. To the PCBU. That in fact, it makes it clear in the Act, under Section (I

think it's) 172, that they have a right to ask for a sample from an, or get a sample from a worker, but that is only with the worker's full, fully informed consent. That's a sample right??? So it might be, you know, maybe they use that to get breath testing for alcohol or drugs. It could be something like that say, and they would use it for that because, and they could get informed consent for that because a worker in some industries, especially with heavy machinery, they, they sign in their contract that they will give a sample, you know every, you know, every few weeks, or something, some of them are tested weekly. I think to make sure they haven't been smoking dope, or, or anything else like that, so that they, you know, they're fit to operate machinery. So that would be where Section 172 is in use. But there's nothing in people's contract about masking, or testing, or taking vaccines right? So, so WorkSafe have been acting like there is. And they are very, very hard to get hold of now, now that this has started to come up, and I've started to beat the drum about WorkSafe. So, yeah, long story short, I think, I think Friday was a red letter day, because it's all out in the open now, and now we can begin to fight properly. Because all of these threats of things about to happen, and, and, and you know, it's all a big build up. It's all a, a smoke screen. It's all a play. It's all an illusion and they've done very well, but now it's time to end, and I'm very happy that we can now, we can now do this. It's a terrible thing for those people who've been vaccinated, for the people who have killed themselves over this, there is going to be a lot of payback, but WorkSafe is first on my list. Right.

Grant: John Ansel says that it's, we should be thinking about the punishment for these war crimes, or, or what we'd call it.. GENOCIDE.

Liz: Mm.

Grant: Should be thinking about how we're going to punish these people.

Liz: Mm. And I don't know.

Grant: It's the death sentence.

Liz: Yeah. Well it has to be doesn't it? Um.

Grant: Yes. A judicial

Liz: Mm. For those people who have, you know “malice of forethought”... done this. Yes. I think that has to be the case.

Grant: Do you think that should include not only politicians, but the media, and also employers?

Liz: The media? I don't think the media or the employer know a darn thing about this. I think there's very few people who know it because it's such a dangerous secret.

Grant: Mm. Well we're doing our best to, to talk about it and Linda Walton from Health Forum NZ. She's got a massive presence, and I think she, before they took her off Facebook, she had 45,000 followers. She's now on MeWe, and they put

it, I think it's about 310 deaths with the people's register, and thousands of injuries, and we all know people now. Don't you? Do you? I, I do...

Liz: I don't know any people. No. Well living on Waihiki Island. Oh. Although, I'll tell you what I see a lot of people walking around limping and, and things like that. Um. Yeah. And limping and, and with crutches and, you know, and, and into wheelchairs., and..

Grant: With their clots and their blood clots.

Liz: Yeah. I think so. Yeah, a lot of them on the streets of Waihiki that's for sure.

Grant: Wow. Well there's a few things I wanted to talk to you about with just a check, check a few more things. Home-schooling? You mentioned home-school. Now do they have to be tested the people that are conducting, that like the parents that are doing home-schooling or do they have to be jabbed?

Liz: Well, this is the thing. No. They're talking about testing. But this is the thing, I'd say now, we've ripped the, we've ripped the cloth aside. Nobody has to do anything from here on in. Just stop right there.

Grant: Okay.

Liz: Apart from reopen your businesses and get on with, the with, the job, because we want to now pull these, these, we now need to get these worms out of their wormholes from

WorkSafe, and, and start with them right? Because they're the so-called enforces. Right?

Grant: So this is a Clause 9A. That's the magic bullet?

Liz: No. A. Just Clause 9. Oh. Sorry.

Grant: Clause 9. Oh. Sorry. That was 12 A wasn't it? The other one. The exemption?

Liz: 12A for the, 12A is for the, um the ones who've got a ministry that they have to write to. Yeah. I've had a case of that. She's written off the, the employer wrote off to the ministry about, oh three, 3 weeks ago. No word. Um, everything goes quiet once you've got, you know, you've got them in your sights. You suddenly can't be got on the phone. Uh.. there's no word back, etcetera, etcetera.

Grant: Okay. So, self-employed. In particular, one lady come to mind. Heidi Collins. She's just closed down her business as a, as a self-employed hairdresser. What would your advice to Heidi be now, because she's shut down.

Liz: Open up. Open up. And, and when she gets and email, because, this weekend, I just heard that somebody got an email on Friday from WorkSafe wanting to see their, what was it? Their vaccination status and their health and safety risk assessment plan right? So, I'm very keen, because it's like, right, we have a name at last. So, the thing that will happen is that the person will get in touch with me. This is the person who got the email from WorkSafe. I will be engaged as their lawyer. I will be saying, 'Who are you? Mr. WorkSafe Person.

Um. My client is going to join, is going to join a Class Action with other clients, and we're going to sue you for lies and, the latest one; fancy sending out an email saying, 'I want this.' And 'I want that.' Right?

Grant: Personal information.

Liz: What have they, what right have they got under the Health and Safety Act to do anything like that. They have, they only collect information about hazardous substances on the work, on in the physical workplace. They don't.

Grant: Right. So they've got no jurisdiction to collect information on workers.

Liz: No. They don't. And all of this rubbish about, the order trumps at all. What a load of rubbish that is. And general, and I mean these people have talked to lawyers. I don't know what lawyers are thinking. There is this thing called the 'General Law' which also includes the Crimes Act, and the Health and Safety at Work Act, and the Employment Relations Act. All of which trumps, all of the General Law trumps a thing called an 'order.' An order has the status of a regulation. Okay. So every act contracts all the contracts act, or will trump it everything will trump it. And they're going around saying, 'Oh well, this makes a difference.' But the Prime Minister, said blah blah. **Prime Minister's got a degree in spin.** That's it.

Grant: Yeah.

Liz: So don't know anything about the law.

Grant: So, what's the between, first of all, a mandate and a statute?

Liz: Okay.

Grant: Are mandates, you know, really lawful, or are they just like, you know..

Liz: They're made under.. I call mandate basically, because we've got a whole lot of private mandates going on at moment. Okay. So, that's a public mandate that regulation, basically, or order. Okay. It's.. mandates is like a description of what that is supposed to do, right. It's not called a mandate if you look at the, if you look at the actual name of the orders it says something like 'Covid-19 Health Response (vaccinations order number) 3, or something, 2021.' Right. That's the full name of it. Right. And things are, or actions, or non-actions are mandated under it. As in, you know, and people have got, and this is the problem, people get all into the dictionaries, and start thinking about. Just think clearly, and it'll become clear. Don't worry about that. It's an order. It's got the status. Now, its status under a statute. Okay. A statute is an Act of Parliament in this case. The Act of Parliament is that thing called 'Covid-19 Health Response Act. I think its had another couple of words in it. Yeah. Emergency Response Act or something like that. Something like that, because it was actually made under some called an Emergency Preparedness Act. That's what the 2006, that's what they started that one up off. But it doesn't, it's a very dramatic thing, but it actually, when you think about it, and look at it, it's like, because the

whole purpose of that Act, which was put together by the way, late in the piece she, we got, that we've got the lockdowns, and then about 10 days later somebody said, 'But we haven't got an Act of Parliament that you're supposed...' You know, you're not supposed to do things in a country without an Act of Parliament to authorise it, because Acts of Parliament, our representatives vote on it. Right.

Grant: Mm.

Liz: Well, I don't know whether they voted on the thing in the first place because they were all stuck at home by then. So they probably rung them up on the phone. It was pretty shonky the whole darn thing. But anyway, to get to the point of the purpose of that Act, is it says, I think it's Section 4, and now when I talk about sections, I'm talking about Acts. When I'm talking about Clauses, I'm talking about Orders.

Grant: Okay.

Liz: Mm. Acts of a higher status than Orders. Right. Because those Orders are made under Section 11 of the Parent Act. So the Parent Act, Section 4 says that, you know, the purpose of the Act is, ah, they give you a little description, (Oh. No) to prevent the transmission they know, they don't talk about the risk of it spreading, or something like that. It's all about transmission basically. Right. So as time went on over two years, you know, was worked out, 'Oh. It doesn't stop transmission.' So, then at that stage it should have been, somebody should have looked back and said, but Section 4

says that. What? That's what they Act is for. What are we doing now?

Grant: That is a very good point.

Liz: Yeah. What are we doing now? If the Act doesn't, it doesn't apply anymore. That should be it. Finished. You gotta get something else. You gotta say, 'We'll have an Act of Parliament to sell Pfizer vaccines to the population. And if their representatives vote that in.. Okay.. a new Act. Then we can make some regulations under it, or so we can make some Orders.', But we wouldn't make orders, because we wouldn't be in an emergency anymore would we? We'd just be trying to sell vaccines to people. I mean that's what the Traffic Lights thing is, trying to get people vaccinated. It's nothing about transmission.

Grant: NO. So, they've already said it can't stop.

Liz: Yeah.

Grant: So self-employed people really should be jumping to, they should be jumping for joy after listening to you Liz.

Liz: I hope so.

Grant: They don't have to say to their, they don't have to explain what you've just explained to our listeners about this. They can just hear it from you, and go right, I'm opening up and they can't, and so they'll receive an email.. so

Liz: Probably from, probably from, possibly from WorkSafe. Possibly from WorkSafe. WorkSafe. I don't know how many people work for them. They're a PCBU by the way. It'd be funny if all of these, have, yeah, funny if all of their workers have got, have got the jabbed wouldn't it? You know they're going to be very, very keen to find out how they can get a nice big payout off the PCBU, because anything that they might have been able to scrounge off ACC is going to be nothing compared with the payments that you can get off, you can get in the District Court. For this.

Grant: Right.

Liz: It's a whole new developing area of law, but you know, there we go. That's why Common Law goes.

Grant: Right. Now what is the difference? I talked to John Ansel about this, and I said I think there's a difference between lawful and legal, and he sort of thought I was dancing on a pinhead. Is he right, or is there a difference between lawful and legal?

Liz: I think it's a bit, I think it's a bit of a dancing on the pinhead.

Grant: Okay. That's good, because I heard that from others and they've said that there is.

Liz: But people use the words interchangeably, you know a statute and an act, there the same thing. But you know they're sort of like an Act is more like lawful and a statute is more like

legal. So, you know, it's kind of like those sorts of, those sorts of words.

Grant: I thought that it might have had something to do with part, making statutes that are given to the Governor General to sign. Oh what do they do? They sign there, they have to get approval don't they? Is that Queen's authority?

Liz: Yeah, Yeah. It's all Acts of Parliament, all Statutes have to be signed off by the Governor General in this country. At the moment. Okay.

Grant: Mmm.

Liz: This is going to be interesting too. Now these Statutes, ah, sorry, these Orders or Regulations, they only have to be signed off by the Governor General, which is another reason why they have much less, ah, status than an Act of Parliament, because they're not signed off by our elected representatives. There's only one person who signs them is the Governor General who's appointed, not elected.

Grant: O That's right. Um. So, I thought all laws had to be signed off?

Liz: They do.

Grant: Royal Assent.

Liz: They do.

Grant: Right

Liz: So, so. But they at least Acts of Parliament go through a voting process.

Grant: Of course.

Liz: So, they just..

Grant: Okay. So they just, should go off to the Governor General, and say sign here.

Liz: Yeah. Yeah. That's right. And, she, but yeah she's supposed to get advice, because she's not a lawyer. She's, I think she was a social worker.

Grant: Are we talking about the new one?

Liz: The new one. The Cindy Kiro woman. Yeah. She was a social worker I believe. So the, the previous one Reddy, she was a, she was a lawyer, but they're supposed to take advice from a person called the Solicitor General. Now the Solicitor General might be dead for all I know, because the stuff that's been going through under these Orders is so much gobbledygook and useless information, just hyped up by the media, that you know that's another one who should be on trial. Definitely. The Solicitor General should be on the list. Okay.

Grant: So aren't our laws supposed to be constitutionally conducive, the new laws aren't they supposed to be complimentary to our constitution?

Liz: Yeah. Well, we haven't got a written constitution, so that makes it really, really difficult. Us (NZ), and the UK, and Israel are the only people in, only countries in the world don't have a written constitution. Because then you can measure up. Basically the constitution is a set of rules right?

Grant: Yep.

Liz: So, so, you've got a set of rules that you can measure up, whether this sort of law stands up. Now what they're doing at the moment is they're kind of trying to bring them to, um, they're supposed to bring them into line with the Bill of Rights Act, okay. Which is supposed to be, it's supposed to be modelled on the 1688 Bill of Rights.

Grant: Bill of Rights. Yep.

Liz: Yeah. Which was more to do with making sure that you didn't have the Catholics.

Grant: Yeah. I was just going to say that.

Liz: Killing, killing the Protestants than anything else, and the other way around you know.

Grant: Hmm.

Liz: Cos they, you know, they had a real go at each other, and we were supposed to have in New Zealand. Um. We were supposed to have stopped all that because we had, you know, we had no, we don't have the Church of England as our, and you know we don't; the Queen is head of the Church of England, but the Church of England isn't supposed to hold sway here. It's not supposed to be, well, if you, if you're not, if you're not Anglican, then you're second class. Right.

Grant: Mm.

Liz: Which was you, it, that's why religion and politics should never be mixed up together. But of course they have been terribly.

Grant: Okay. Well, that is good. You've ironed out a few points for me. Um. So, looking at all your orders, the prison workers, board of people, people on the ports, they have had to be jabbed first or no job, then it was home care workers and doctors. Doctors and dentist; so, if I'm not jabbed, how do I get a, how do I have a dental appointment?

Liz: Alright. Now, that the Ministry of Health, because of course, everybody got into the Act, you know, team of 5 million in all of this. What a load of idiots quite frankly, um, and started to say, 'Oh, you can't, you know, I don't want to treat you.' Or, 'I'm going to, it's going to cost you more because I have to, you have to be treated in the back shed, or whatever.' That is ALL against the law. Okay.

Grant: It should be too.

Liz: The Ministry too. Yes. Yeah. The Ministry of Health sort of put some guidance out for them, but kept it really, really quiet, as much as they could, but basically said the onus is on you to make sure that you do, that you make sure that you, you provide the same, the same level of care for all people. Right. Vaxxed or unvaxxed. Right. So if they thought there was a big risk, then they had to, you know, get themselves toggged up, in all sorts of stuff, I suppose. I mean, these PPE people are making a big, big lot of money out of all of this.

Grant: Mmm.

Liz: Right. So, you know all people walking around in plastic bags, and oh, even

Grant: Some gloves and goggles.

Liz: Uh, you know, when we, when we first saw those pictures of the Americans with their outfits. Hey, we thought no, this is so, this is funny. I think people now should be going around taking photos of all the funny outfits that are on, and keeping them for memes, because they're so good, but they're going to, they're going to be gone soon. So yeah, an answer to going to the dentist, you get any trouble from the dentist, is oh, now it's on one of the Zooms I've been doing. But there's a guy called Jeff Waterhouse and he came across a lovely little document, it the, the health and disabilities. It's not the commission that , you go to, it's that, they've got a tribunal, or does the, is the professional conduct tribunal, or something, for the, for all of these health professionals. You start

complaining to them. These can lose their licenses over it. So the doctors who are worrying about losing, losing out, I mean, I think some of doctors who've given jobs, and know that they are giving them, and there's a danger of really harming people, I think they should all be struck off.

Grant: Yes. Most definitely.

Liz: You know, and they've been, and they've turned a blind eye a lot of these doctors.

Grant: Hm.

Liz: Yes, I don't think there'll be many of them left.

Grant: No. I've noticed that you're trying to get, get to a doctor is really hard, and a lot of doctors have just decided to opt for retirement.

Liz: Um, trying to duck aren't they?

Grant: Okay. Yep. They are, and then my neighbour, she, her doctors just decided to retire, and so she went off to another doctor, and he's basically, I think, that, that there's people treating you on the phone.

Liz: Yeah. How? How does that work?

Grant: Yeah.

Liz: That's ridiculous isn't it?

Grant: Yeah.

Liz: Well, they were, you know, treat, treating, yeah, on the phone. Giving prescriptions. It's, it's, I think it's medical negligence actually.

Grant: It's made a mockery out of medicine hasn't it? They've fallen into disrepute.

Liz: It has. Complete mockery. I don't know if anybody can trust a doctor anymore.

Grant: No. No. Look, you know..

Liz: I broke my leg I'd go. Uh, well that'd be it.

Grant: Yeah. They're good at that. They're quite good on the old nuts, and you're, stitching you up again. But out of all of this, I don't trust doctors in hospitals anymore, and I don't trust pharmaceutical drugs anymore.

Liz: No. That's right.

Grant: And I'm now looking at vaccines overall, whether they were actually really dodgy, and we didn't realise it, in some of the, you know the way that they've lied over this one when you go back to your doctor and say, 'Oh, I've got this.. I've got this.. I've got.. I've just had a heart attack.' Oh, it's not related to the, to the vaccine. Yeah. 'But I'm only 26!'; or 'I've got blood clots and I'm only 14.'

Liz: Mm. Or I haven't had a vaccine.'

Grant: Yeah. You know I've just had a vaccine and now I got blood clots, or myocarditis, and they'll say, 'Oh it's nothing. Not related to the vaccine.' So these..

Liz: How do they know that, but just looking at you?

Grant: Because they're terrified of the government. That's what I think.

Liz: So stop being terrified. Isn't it.

Grant: Yeah. They've ruined medicine, because they, they won't stand up to tyrants, and they're allowing someone with a degree in a, or a diploma or whatever it is in communications to dictate how they do medicine, which took them probably 8 to 10 years to get through.

Liz: Yeah.

Grant: And now they've got this little twit in parliament. This little communist, is turned, who's turning, actually into a fascist, dictating how they, they do medicine. It's incredible. So I don't trust any of them now. I mean I'm really careful on the farm. I mean I've, I'm really careful now.

Liz: It's something that you don't get a broken leg or anything.

Grant: No. I don't want to end up in hospital, because you hear horror stories of people that aren't vaccinated being treated in the basement.

Liz: Mm. Mm.

Grant: You know, or, or not, but, and, and some, sometimes they're turned away. Um, actually just on Friday, I heard on Radio New Zealand, and you know how they spin everything, but it seems to me that in Whangerie, we're talking about Whangerie, now businesses that went with the traffic lights, that this is restaurants and cafes, who won't take vaccinated people; they've gone with the government on this.

Liz: Mm.

Grant: Uh, many of them have realised they've made a massive mistake, that the government's been lying to them about the vaccine numbers, and they opened their stores. One lady she said, we opened up our café and at eight o'clock they're usually queuing at the door for our coffee.

Liz: Mm.

Grant: There was no one. She shut the doors and she realised, we've made a terrible mistake. You rotten cow. You've made, you've made a terrible mistake. And, so they reopened again at 10 or something like that, and allowed everybody to be served vaccinated or unvaccinated, takeaways. And so, and that's good. Taught her a lesson. Serves her right. And it's happening all over our area. I'd say all over New Zealand.

Liz: Great.

Grant: Businesses are going to go broke if they listen to Cindy on this one.

Liz: Yeah.

Grant: Cindy and Grant. Uh, and what's his name? Hipkins, and what's the other one? Ashley?

Liz: Chris. Oh Ashley.

Grant: Bloomfield

Grant: Ashley. Name like Ashley. Mm. So it seems to me that they've made a massive mistake. And they're, and now we know who the rotten people are in this, in this country. We know who the Nazi people that would follow the Nazi regime tomorrow. You, you know who you are now? Don't you?

Liz: Yeah.

Grant: That's what I'd say to them, now, you know, how you'd react, because the first law that hit the border, and out of the 400 laws that he bought in against the Jews, the first one was they weren't allowed to go to cafes and restaurants.

Liz: Oh. Was that right?

Grant: That is the very first of the 400, and so now you know, you know that you are a Nazi collaborator in 2021, and that's who you'd be in 1933 when the first ones came out to stop Jews from going into, and, and they even, even spread rumours the government spread, spread rumours that the, the Jews were spreading a disease it's just the name of it escapes me for a moment, but they were spreading typhoid I think, or typhos, however, isn't it, and which is absolute rubbish, and that's, that's how they do it.

Liz: Was it a disease? It was a disease wasn't it. Yeah

Grant: It's all over again isn't it. Well what's happening now 90 years later, we've got the same thing happening again.

Liz: Hmm. Mm. Yes.

Grant: And so, people that. Yes. And then the other one Liz is what about this? When they say, 'Oh well, we we're normally open five days a week and we shut Saturday and Sundays, but on Saturdays and Sundays we're allowing the Jews to come in.' They may as well say that. 'Monday we are allowing the aliens on Monday to Friday and then on Saturdays and Sundays we have the Jews. They can come and buy our coffee.' That's exactly what they're doing. But they're saying we're going to have vaccinated people Monday to Friday and dirty stinking unvaccinated people on Saturday and Sunday. Would you give them your business? Not a no. Not a dollar.

Liz: No way. I don't drink coffee. Yeah.

Grant: Even if you did drink it.

Liz: Yeah.

Grant: Um, another thing is what did you think about the French going out and having, bringing their own beautiful food, coffee, sitting down outside the cafes. You know how they have all those chairs and tables outside the cafes.

Liz: Yeah

Grant: They were empty. This video I saw they were completely empty, and everyone was sitting on the, on the, on the, on the road on the footpath with their lovely mats and look like lovely people just having a wonderful time. Outside the cafes

Liz: Yeah

Grant: The cafes are going broke and these people are having beautiful home cooked meals, home cooked café food not spending \$1 on these, these cafes that have barred them because of the vaccine passport.

Liz: That must make them so annoyed.

Grant: Serves them right, serve them right. Yeah, um, a great news, I was up at Kawakawa, and a business up there, I won't name cos I don't want anything to happen to them, but that,

about two or three businesses I walking into and they are not interested in going down vaccine passport thing and they just laugh at it. So they're not going to.

Liz: Laughs. Yeah, I went, I saw that the market at Waihiki was open, but I was a little bit early. So I don't know how, if it got, it got good, you know, did good business, or anything because I don't read the golf news anymore, that's a, that's a co-rag magazine.

Grant: Is it? Is it gone communist?

Liz: Oh, she's been like forever, but...

Grant: Yeah, they know who they are don't they? This just tells us who they are now, we know who the, who the, the fascists are.

Liz: But, anyway, they were all, all of storekeepers were you know, walking around with masks on. Anyway, I know the person who runs it, and I was talking to her, and I said, 'Oh. Good to see you open here.' I said, 'It'll be good when all this mask stuff..' And then I, I told her about Clause 9. She said, 'That's great, new.'" So, yeah, I expect that next week on Saturday, everybody will be there with their masks off because, I don't think this is, we are only a very small country, you know, and we talk to each other, and I think by next week we should be finished with it.

Grant: Let's hope, then that it backfires on the government, and backfires on retailers who have decided to discriminate

against their fellow New Zealanders. We're talking.. Yes Liz, sorry go ahead.

Liz: Yeah, the other thing is all of these clubs who are now saying, to the, like golf clubs for example.

Grant: Oh, I've heard one in Waipu.

Liz: Rowing clubs, a bit won't let the people into the, to use the boats, or be in the club rooms. Well the first thing you do is get your fees back, but the other thing is they become PCBU in that case. I mean they always were, but if they're going to start doing that, and then if, because, often they've got little restaurants on there, and also the Health and Safety at Work Act cover the whole, every area of activity. You can't do anything in New Zealand without some PCBU being in, you know, responsible for health and safety, really.

Grant: Even self-employed?

Liz: Anything where you, yeah, the self-employed is sort of like they're self-employed. Un, they, they're responsible for people who are coming into their works, work spaces, so you know if they've got a little café and they start, you know, and, and they well; here's the thing. Let's talk about the real elephant in the room which is covid. Right

Grant: Yes

Liz: So does this, does this covid sitting in, in places, right? They haven't done any testing. They've never been advised by

WorkSafe to do any testing, because I think the, the problem is there's nothing anywhere right? Okay. There's no covid-19 sitting around on desks or anything. But, but we're playing this game. Right? We're going to play this game of let's pretend. Right? And, we've got this thing called Section 83, which if people want to have a look at a page called Section 83 NZ on Facebook, they'll see all of the videos and stuff that we've done about it, and we've been, that's why I've been negotiating with, with employers,, so much because..

Grant: Is that because of Counterspin Media Liz?

Liz: Uh, Counterspin Media have go one of, one, that I did with Graham, Graham. Yeah.

Grant: Where else do they find Section 83 that you said? There's a website?

Liz: There's a, as I say there's a page on Facebook called Section 83 NZ.

Grant: Right. Gotcha.

Liz: And it's got all of the letter on there, and everything. Um, I mean, it's been really intense for the last couple of months, because we've been, you know, the, the public sector's been a real pain. But now the private sector's got dragged into it. Um, yeah, suddenly you know there's a little bit more brain going on with the public, with the private sector because the public, we don't need any more public servants I'm afraid. They've all turned out to be a waste of time.

Grant: Mm.

Liz: They've just got, you know they're complete naughty dogs. And, um, so it was really hard, even to get them to understand how to work the, work the system. They just sort of, sort of hang around getting their pay by the look of things. But, anyway, yeah, so, so, so where was I going with that.. Yeah, these clubs and, and stuff, you know, bridge club or anything like that.

Grant: Yes, I heard of a golf club...

Liz: Yeah, yeah.

Grant: Golf club saying unless you're vaccinated you can't come on the premises, and I think I'm, I'm, correct me if I'm wrong; in fact I'm pretty sure it's Waipu Golf Club started this nonsense, and that just south of Whangarie.

Liz: Yeah. Well yeah, some of them didn't want, not only apparently golf, Golfing New Zealand has backed down on it a bit, and said, 'Oh well, it's only the clubhouses.' Now that, you can, people that, the, the members are allowed on the, on the grounds, but I've been talking to people from, you know, have been ringing me up about that., and I said listen, they're PCBU start telling them about, you know, how they can be sued by encouraging people to get vaccine. If the people get, you know, that's if the people injured.

Grant: Yes. Injured or killed.

Liz: Yes, or killed. Right.

Grant: Yes. I think they've really under-estimated, haven't they Liz? The government, and the people have, well, I don't know about the government. She's (Jacinda) has been lying to us the whole time. When I say the government, she, she runs the thing. Um, lying to us the whole time about the vaccine numbers, but I think businesses have been believe her propaganda, her sustained propaganda that there is a lot more people vaccinated, and there's not, and now that they've started to implement, even before it was law, that, that, really, it's, it's not law that they operate, and stop vaccinated people going in. It's just that it's an option for them, and, and, those ones that have taken that option up have believed the propaganda that, that most people are vaccinated, and it's absolute tripe, I believe.

Liz: Yeah, so they were looking at the customer number you think Grant?

Grant: Well, when they saw their custom just drop off because, even people that are vaccinated are dead against discrimination against us because of our health choices.

Liz: Yeah. Yeah. Well the thing is too, but in law, you can't actually force people to do anything. It's all, it's all stopping, it's all about stopping people doing things right.

Grant: Hm.

Liz: The, the, there are, you cannot get an injunction to make somebody do something.

Grant: But you're, you're stopping them from enjoying their life, unless they,

Liz: But no. They're, no, they're, they've, they've created this thing that gives the illusion, because it is an illusion, because the government keeps saying, 'We haven't done it. We haven't done it.' And the, and the employers are saying, 'We haven't done it. We haven't done it.' The government's did it, and the government saying, 'No the employer did it.' Right. But, the employers, unfortunately DID do it. Because they got fooled by the government.

Grant: They did. They took the bait didn't they?

Liz: They did.

Grant: They took the bait hook-line-sinker.

Liz: But, but I'm not, I'm not lie, I'm not, I'm hoping, well, just hoping, but I'm planning that, that once these, these, you know, where that we will get Class Action, where all the employers get together and sue WorkSafe. That WorkSafe then has to turn to the government and say, 'Ouch.' And the government has to go after Pfizer for the money, because that's actually where it's all gone. Okay.

Grant: But haven't they signed..

Liz: We can get it back. Yeah.

Grant: Hasn't the government signed agreements giving Pfizer indemnity against..

Liz: That's an illegal contract, because it was done for an illegal action.

Grant: Oh of course.

Liz: Absolutely illegal contract.

Grant: Yep.

Liz: But they can't be, they can't be held for that, no we'll get the money back off Pfizer. Right.

Grant: Okay. Sounds good. Not that money will bring back someone's health or life.

Liz: No. It won't. It won't. And that's why as John says, 'We have to think about how these people are going to be punished.'

Grant: Mm. Thank you Liz. Great. Always great having you on my podcast. I really appreciate you talking to me, and I'm hoping to talk to you again, and, and I'd like you to be a regular, actually and bring us up-to-date with anything at all that's happening in the legal realm to do with this terrible

thing that's happened to our world, and our country in particular.

It's Liz Lambert, Auckland Lawyer who lives on Waihiki Island. Thank you very much.

Liz: Oh. Thank you Grant.

Additional comment:

Grant: Oh. I said naked. When you said, she was on the top of the pile, or something, and I said naked, I was thinking of the 'Emperor's New Clothes.' And, and that's why I said naked, and you just ignored it, either that or you didn't hear it. I said naked.

Liz: I didn't hear it.